REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The foregoing amendment and the following arguments are provided to impart precision to the claims, by more particularly pointing out the invention, rather than to avoid prior art.

35 U.S.C. § 102(e) Rejections

Examiner rejected claims 1-3, 7 and 12-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,473,857 (hereinafter "Panas").

"To anticipate a claims, the reference must teach every element of the claim. A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." (Manual of Patent Examining Procedures (MPEP) ¶ 2131.)

Independent claims of the present application include limitations not disclosed or suggested by Panas. As a result, applicant's independent claims are not anticipated by Panas and are patentable over Panas.

In particular, applicant's independent claims include the limitation, or limitation similar thereto, of <u>transferring the information to the subsystem is</u> <u>performed without involvement of a main system operating system.</u>

The examiner indicates the limitation is suggested in Panas. In particualr, Panas' reference to "a user might not want to disrupt an operatin ISAN server." (Panas, col. 18, lines 64-56.) However, applicant respectfully disagrees.

1

Appl. No. 09/675,977 Amdt. dated 09/17/2003 Reply to Office action of July 17, 2003 -10-

Applicant's claimed <u>transferring the information to the subsystem is performed</u> <u>without involvement of a main system operating system</u>, is separate from the disclosed "no disruption." Applicant's claimed without involvement saves power. Whereas the disclosed no disruption, does not save power.

Therefore, applicant's claims include limitations that are not disclosed or suggested by Panas, and applicant's independent claims are therefore are not anticipated by Panas, and are patentable over Panas.

Furthermore, the remaining claims depend from one of the independent claims as discussed above. As a result, the dependent claims include the distinguishing claim limitation discussed above and are also not anticipated by Panas, and are patentable over Panas.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite or assist in the allowance of the present application, the Examiner is invited to call John Ward at (408) 720-8300, x237.

Authorization is hereby given to charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any charges that may be due.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOK LOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN

Date: 9 7 7 0 5

John P/Ward

Reg. No. 40,216

12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026 (408) 720-8300

Appl. No. 09/675,977 Amdt. dated <u>09/17/2</u>003 Reply to Office action of July 17, 2003

4